

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/549,279	. 04/14/2000	Masaki Ichihara	P/2291-85	9019
7590 09/07/2004			EXAMINER	
Steven I. Weisburd			CHANG, EDITH M	
Dickstein, Shapiro, Morin & Oshinsky LLP 1177 Avenue of The Americas 41st Floor New York, NY 10036-2714			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2637	
			DATE MAILED: 09/07/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\mathcal{V}
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	~
	09/549,279	ICHIHARA, MASAKI	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Edith M Chang	2637	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	Ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a soly within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 √ This action is FINAL . 2b) Thi Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal mat		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-6 and 12-14</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>3 and 7-11</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on 07 November 2003 is/ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the E	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)[edrawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	nts have been received. Its have been received in A prity documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4\ □ Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2637

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments/Remarks

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed June 23 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- A: The applicant argues that regarding claims 1, 5, 13, and 14, the references, whether taken individually or in combination, do not disclose or suggest the invention claimed

Response: The references Secord et al. and Natali et al. are in the same field of endeavor as the application, the multi-carrier CDMA communication system. The combined/modified system discloses/suggests the invention claimed, provides the details of the limitations to implement/complete the functions, to receive and downconvert the received CDMA signal on the I-Q plane to A/D for the purpose of digital processing the received multi-carrier CDMA signal (refer the rationale of the rejections).

B: The applicant argues that a control data generator for generating control data from a frequency difference between a frequency band and the predetermined frequency band does not present in the cited reference regarding independent claims 1, 5, 12, 13, and 14.

Response: In Fig.3, Secord et al. discloses the control data from a frequency difference ($\Delta\omega$) between the frequency band and the predetermined frequency band (ω_c) as the spectrum shown in the FIG.10 of the current application. Secord et al. provides the control data generator generating the control data (Fig.4 ω_c and $\Delta\omega$ provided by the generator). When the In-phase (I) components and Quadrature (Q) component produced,

Art Unit: 2637

a quadrature frequency converter/generator is assumed to produce I and Q components in the current invention without presenting the generator. The same is held for control data generator of the reference, when the control data shown, there is a control data generator produces the control data. Therefore, the cited references do present the control data generator as cited in the claims.

C: The applicant disagrees with the assertion that regarding to independent claims 1, 5, 12, 13 and 14, that Second provides a control data generator generating the control data from a frequency difference. The control data generator recited in Applicant's claims includes a phase data generator for generating phase data from the frequency difference in synchronization with the predetermined sampling clock, and a converter for converting the phase data to the control data consisting of a plurality of control bits.

Response: The independent claims 1, 5, 12, 13 and 14 do not recite the limitations as stated. The limitations (e.g. the phase data and the plurality of control bits stated in the arguments) in the specification do not read in the claim when these limitations are *not recited in the claim* (see MPEP 2111).

D: The applicant argues that Natali does not to cure the above-recited deficiency but to disclose an I-Q plane.

Response: In FIG.3, Hellberg et al. teaches the phase data generator and converter of plurality bits. Hellberg et al. cures the deficiency. Refer to the rationale of the rejection of the office action.

The rejections are upheld.

Art Unit: 2637

Specification

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to the informality. In lines 1-3, "A frequency shifting circuit suitable for a digital demodulator in a multi-carrier communications system is disclosed" is suggested replacing by "This invention is regarding a frequency shifting circuit suitable for a digital demodulator in a multi-carrier communications system". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Objections

- 3. Claims 5-11 and 12 is objected to because of the following informalities:
- Claim 5, line 15: "the signal vector" is suggested changing to "the signal vector rotator".
- Claim 12, line 11, "the plurality" is suggested changing to "a plurality" and "the predetermined" is suggested changing to "a predetermined".
 - Claims 6-11 directly or indirectly dependent on the objected claim 5.

Appropriate corrections are required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1, 5, 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Secord et al. (US 6097712) in view of Natali et al. (US 6317412 B1).

Art Unit: 2637

Regarding claims 1 & 13, except explicitly specify the I-Q plane, Secord et al. discloses a digital circuit and its method for shifting a frequency band of a signal vector to a predetermined frequency band (Fig.3, Fig.4-6), comprising: control data generated from a frequency difference (42-46 Fig.4 where the inputs ω_c , $\Delta\omega$ of 42-46 provide the control data), note that there is inherently a control data generator generating the control data; and a signal vector rotator for rotating the signal vector (42 Fig.4 is the rotator). However Natali et al. teaches I-Q plane (24 FIGURE 8), as the Secord et al.'s receiver and its methods for CDMA spread-spectrum communications, through Natali et al.'s teaching to prepare the receiver for the spread spectrum communication, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have the Natali et al.'s teaching implemented in Secord et al.'s rake receiver, to receive and downconvert the received CDMA signal on the I-Q plane to A/D for the purpose of digital processing the received multi-carrier CDMA signal.

Regarding claims 5 & 14, except explicitly specify the I-Q plane, and the analog-to-digital converter that obviously included elements of the Secord et al's CDMA multi-carrier receiver/rake receivers, Secord et al. discloses a digital circuit and its method for shifting a frequency band of a signal vector to a predetermined frequency band (Fig.3, Fig.4-6), comprising: control data generated from a frequency difference (42-46 Fig.4 where the inputs ω_c , $\Delta\omega$ of 42-46 provide the control data), note that there is inherently a control data generator generating the control data; and a signal vector rotator for rotating the signal vector (42 Fig.4 is the rotator), a band pass filter (48 Fig.4). However Natali et al. teaches I-Q plane (24 FIGURE 8) and the analog-to-digital converter for converting a received analog signal vector to the signal vector (25 FIGURE 8), as the Secord et al.'s

Art Unit: 2637

receiver and its methods for CDMA spread-spectrum communications, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have the Natali et al.'s teaching implemented in Secord et al.'s rake receiver, to receive and downconvert the received CDMA signal on the I-Q plane to A/D for digital processing the received multi-carrier CDMA signal.

Regarding claim 12, except explicitly specify the I-Q plane, and the analog-todigital converter that obvious included elements of the Secord et al's CDMA multicarrier receiver/rake receivers, Secord et al. discloses a digital circuit and its method for shifting a frequency band of a signal vector to a predetermined frequency band (Fig. 3, Fig.4-6), comprising: control data generated from a frequency difference (42-46 Fig.4 where the inputs ω_c , $\Delta\omega$ of 42-46 provide the control data), note that there is inherently a control data generator generating the control data; and a signal vector rotator for rotating the signal vector (42 Fig. 4 is the rotator), a band pass filter (48 Fig. 4). However Natali et al. teaches I-O plane (24 FIGURE 8, where the quadrature frequency converter downconverts a quadrature signal) and the analog-to-digital converter for converting a received analog signal vector to the signal vector (25 FIGURE 8), as the Secord et al.'s receiver and its methods for CDMA spread-spectrum communications, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have the Natali et al.'s teaching implemented in Secord et al.'s rake receiver, to receive and downconvert the received CDMA signal on the I-Q plane to A/D.

Art Unit: 2637

6. Claims 2, 4, & 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Secord et al. (US 6097712) in view of Natali et al. (US 6317412 B1) as applied to claims 1 and 5 above, and further in view of Hellberg (US 6167102).

Regarding claim 2, Natali et al. discloses an analog-to-digital converter for converting a received analog signal vector to the signal vector (25 FIGURE 8), but does not explicitly specify a phase data generator and converter. However Hellberg teaches the phase data generator and converter of plurality bits (340 FIG.3). As Natali et al. teaches using NCO to provide the frequency (41 FIGURE 8 '412) in the control data generator, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have the Hellberg's teaching in the NCO to detail its operation to provide the phase to have a more efficient NCO with simpler computation (column 3 lines 48-55).

Regarding **claims 4** & 6, further <u>Hellberg et al.</u> teaches the phase data generated by computing an integral multiple of a unit angle which is obtained from a frequency shift (FIG.2, column 2 lines 25-30 where the frequency/sinusoidal value is the one of eight distinct 210 with a unit angle multiplied by 1 to 8). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have the Hellberg's teaching in the NCO to detail its operation to provide the phase to have a more efficient NCO with simpler computation (column 3 lines 48-55).

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 3, 7-11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2637

Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edith M Chang whose telephone number is 571-272-3041. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jayanti Patel can be reached on 571-272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2637

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Edith Chang August 30, 2004

> YOUNG 7. TSE MARY EXAMINER